20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DIGTRICT OF GALLEORNIA
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	LEVI STRAUSS & CO, et al.,
8	Plaintiffs, No. C 10-05051 JSW
9	v. ORDER TO COUNSEL TO SHOW CAUSE
10	PAPIKIAN ENTERPRISES, INC, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	On July 27, 2012, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiff's motion to hold Defendants in
14	contempt. Defendant Galoust Papikian appeared on behalf of himself and, purportedly, on
15	behalf of his corporation. Defendants' counsel, Steven Krongold did not appear at the hearing
16	Although in previous filings, Mr. Krongold indicated that this hearing did not encompass the
17	scope of his representation, he has never formally sought to be relieved as counsel.
18	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS Mr. Krongold to show cause why he should
19	not be sanctioned in the amount of \$500.00 and be held responsible for a portion of the

ld attorneys' fees that Levi Strauss & Company ("Levi Strauss") seeks in connection with hits motion. Mr. Krongold's response shall be due by no later than August 8, 2012, so that he may have the benefit of reviewing Levi Strauss' request for attorneys' fees before he responds to the Order to Show Cause.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 30, 2012